

Split Application of Urea Does Not Decrease and May Increase Nitrous Oxide Emissions in Rainfed Corn

Rodney T. Venterea* and Jeffrey A. Coulter

ABSTRACT

Modification of N fertilizer application timing within the growing season has the potential to reduce soil nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions but limited data are available to assess its effects. We compared cumulative growing season nitrous oxide emissions (cN_2O) following urea applied to corn (*Zea mays* L.) in a single application (SA) at planting or in three split applications (SpA) over the growing season. For both SA and SpA, granular urea was broadcast and incorporated at six fertilizer N rates in the corn phase of a corn–soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] rotation and in a continuous corn system over two growing seasons. Daily N_2O flux was measured using chambers on 35 dates in 2012 and 40 dates in 2013 and soil nitrate-N concentration was measured weekly. Split application did not affect grain yield and did not reduce cN_2O . Across N rates and rotations, cN_2O was 55% greater with SpA compared with SA in 2012. Increased cN_2O with SpA in 2012 likely resulted from a prolonged dry period before the second split application followed by large rainfall events following the third split application. Across years and rotations, SpA increased cN_2O by 57% compared with SA when the maximum N rate was applied. Exponential relationships between cN_2O and fertilizer N rate explained 62 to 74% of the variance in area-based cN_2O and 54% of the variance in yield-based cN_2O . Applying urea to coincide with periods of high crop N demand does not necessarily reduce and may increase N_2O emissions.

Modification of N management practices to reduce emissions of N_2O has been identified as a strategy for reducing the greenhouse gas footprint of agricultural cropping systems (Ogle et al., 2014). Altering the timing of N fertilizer application is frequently mentioned as a potentially effective practice for reducing all forms of reactive N loss from fertilized soil including N_2O (Smith et al., 2007; Robertson and Vitousek, 2009; Ribaud et al., 2011). For the majority of large-scale crop production systems, N fertilizer is often applied well before the crop has developed to a stage where the N can be efficiently assimilated. A survey of corn producers in Minnesota reported that only 9% of growers applied N fertilizer after planting (Bierman et al., 2012). The demand of the corn plant for N is low during early growth stages but increases and remains high for several weeks into the growing season (Abendroth et al., 2011). Therefore, applying N later in the growing season or in multiple split applications distributed across the growing

season could improve the synchrony between soil N availability and crop N demand and reduce the amount of soil N available for conversion to N_2O . However, the benefits of altered N fertilizer timing on N_2O emissions are in question due to the limited number of studies and their inconsistent results.

A few studies have compared single early-season N applications to split N applications distributed over the growing season. Zebarth et al. (2012) found no effect of single vs. split application timing on N_2O emissions in a potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) system. Burton et al. (2008) found reduced N_2O emissions with split application in one of two growing seasons. Split N application reduced N_2O emissions in sugarcane in Australia when 200 kg N ha⁻¹ was applied, but did not affect N_2O when 100 kg N ha⁻¹ was applied (Allen et al., 2010). One process-based N_2O emissions model that accounts for crop N uptake and soil N transformations predicted that N_2O emissions will decrease as the number of N fertilizer applications during the growing season increase (Hu et al., 2012) while another model was relatively insensitive to single vs. split application (Del Grosso et al., 2009). While not examining split application per se, other studies have compared single N applications applied early vs. later in the growing season. Phillips et al. (2009) found no significant difference in N_2O emissions following urea applied to corn 6 wk before planting compared

R.T. Venterea, USDA-ARS, Soil and Water Management Unit, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, MN 55108 and Dep. Soil, Water, and Climate Univ. of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108; and J.A. Coulter, Dep. Agronomy and Plant Genetics, Univ. of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or the University of Minnesota. Received 5 Aug. 2014. *Corresponding author (venterea@umn.edu).

Published in Agron. J. 107:337–348 (2015)
doi:10.2134/agronj14.0411

Available freely online through the author-supported open access option. Copyright © 2015 by the American Society of Agronomy, 5585 Guilford Road, Madison, WI 53711. All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Abbreviations: CC, continuous corn; cN_2O , cumulative nitrous oxide emissions; cN_2O -y, yield-based cumulative nitrous oxide emissions; CS, corn–soybean; dN_2O , daily nitrous oxide flux; EF, fertilizer-induced nitrous oxide emissions factor; SA, single application; SMC, soil moisture content; SN, soil nitrate-nitrogen concentration; SNI, soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity; SNI-y, yield-based soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity; SpA, split application; SOC, soil organic carbon; ST, soil temperature.

to 3 d before planting. Similarly, Zebarth et al. (2008) found no difference in N_2O emissions following ammonium nitrate applied to corn at emergence compared to growth stage V6. Drury et al. (2012) found that urea application to corn at growth stage V6 decreased N_2O by 33% compared to pre-plant application in a conventional tillage system, but application timing had no effect on N_2O in no-tillage or zone-tillage systems. To date, no studies have evaluated effects of single vs. split N fertilizer application on N_2O emissions in corn production systems in the United States.

The total rate of N fertilizer applied to the field is usually the most reliable predictor of N_2O emissions (Shcherbak et al., 2014). Differences in the quantity and/or quality of crop residues from prior growing seasons or other residual effects of cropping history may also affect N_2O emissions (Mosier et al., 2006; Drury et al., 2008; Halvorson et al., 2008; Omonode et al., 2011). Thus, N fertilizer application timing effects may be influenced by the N rate as well as the crop rotation. No studies to date have evaluated N application timing effects on N_2O emissions across a wide range of N rates or in different crop rotations.

The objective of this study was to examine the effects of single vs. split fertilizer application on cumulative N_2O emissions and soil N availability in continuous corn (CC) and corn–soybean (CS) cropping systems across a range of N rates and over two consecutive growing seasons on a silt loam soil in Minnesota. Our aim was to investigate a practice that has the potential to reduce N_2O emissions, and not necessarily to simulate a practice that is currently common in the region. Our general hypothesis was that split application would reduce N_2O emissions with an expectation of interactions of timing with N rate, rotation, and/or year.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description and Experimental Design

The experiment was conducted in long-term research plots at the University of Minnesota Research Station in Rosemount, MN (44°45' N, 93°04' W). The soil is a naturally drained Waukegan silt loam (fine-silty over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Hapludoll) containing 220 g kg⁻¹ sand, 550 g kg⁻¹ silt, and 230 g kg⁻¹ clay with pH (in 0.01 M CaCl₂) of 6.0. The 30-yr (1984–2013) mean annual precipitation and temperature are 748 mm and 7.7°C, respectively (MCWG, 2014). The plots used in this study are part of a long-term experiment with tillage and rotation treatments in place since 1991 (Venterea et al., 2010). A 2-yr experiment (2012 and 2013) was conducted using a randomized complete block, split-split plot design with rotation as the main effect, fertilizer N application rate as the split-plot effect, and fertilizer N application timing as the split-split-plot effect. Each year, three main plots in a CC rotation and three main plots in the corn phase of a CS rotation were randomly subdivided into six split-plots; one split-plot (the control) received no N fertilizer, while the other five split-plots received one of five fertilizer N rates (50, 90, 130, 170, or 210 kg N ha⁻¹) which brackets the recommended rates for this region of Minnesota (Randall et al., 2008). Long-term management of these plots has used the same rate of N application (146 kg N ha⁻¹) to both rotations to avoid confounding rotation with N management. Each of the five split-plots receiving fertilizer N were further subdivided

into two split-split-plots, which were randomly assigned to a single application (SA) at planting or to three split applications (SpA) distributed over the growing season.

For the SpA treatments, one-third of the total N was applied in each of three applications. The first application occurred at planting coinciding with the SA treatment. The second and third applications occurred when the crop was at vegetative growth stage V6 and V14, respectively, which correspond with high rates of N accumulation in plant tissue (Abendroth et al., 2011). The V6 applications were made on 3 July 2012 and 9 July 2013 and the V14 applications were made on 24 July 2012 and 28 July 2013. Urea was hand-applied and immediately incorporated into the soil manually using metal garden rakes with 100-mm long tines. Corn was planted at 79,000 seeds ha⁻¹ on 15 May 2012 (Cropland 3337VT2P) and on 16 May 2012 (Pioneer P0193AM) using a John Deere model 7100 Max-Emerge planter. After physiological maturity (on 24 Sept. 2012 and 18 Oct. 2013), corn ears were harvested from plants in the middle four rows of each split-plot. Ears were initially dried at 35°C, shelled, and further dried for 3 d at 65°C and weighed to obtain dry grain yield.

Each main plot was 27.4 m (36 rows with 0.76-m row spacing) wide by 61 m long, and each split-split plot was 4.57 m (six rows) wide by 4.57 m long. Areas within the inner four rows of each split-split plot were used for collection of gas, soil and plant samples. The same set of three main plots was used both years in the CC rotation; in these plots, the plot areas were relocated each year to avoid re-using the same ground. In the CS rotation, a different set of main plots was used each year in accordance with the crop rotation. The main plots used in both rotations were selected from the same long-term tillage treatment (designated as “conservation tillage”). According to the design of the long-term study, this tillage regime differed depending on the crop grown each year, with fall stalk chopping followed by fall disk-ripping occurring after corn and no fall tillage occurring after soybean. Thus, the rotation treatments of the long-term study and of this 2-yr study also have a tillage component embedded within them. Both rotations received secondary tillage (tandem disking) before planting each spring.

Nitrous Oxide Emissions

Soil-to-atmosphere N_2O fluxes were measured using static chambers (Venterea et al., 2010) on 35 dates between 12 April and 5 September in 2012 and on 40 dates between 1 May and 29 September in 2013. Before planting, fluxes were measured weekly in two locations within each main plot. Following planting, fluxes were measured twice per week at one location in each split-split-plot. Approximately 4500 individual N_2O flux measurements were collected over the 2-yr study period. In each split-split-plot, one stainless steel chamber anchor (0.50 by 0.29 m) equipped with a 20-mm wide by 5-mm deep flange around its perimeter was installed to a depth of 0.07 m with the flange resting directly on the soil surface. On each sampling day between 1000 and 1300 h local time, insulated and vented chamber tops (0.50 by 0.29 by 0.10 m high) each also equipped with a 20- by 5-mm flange around their perimeter were sealed to the anchors by attaching the chamber flange to the anchor flange using binder clips. Gas samples were collected using 12-mL polypropylene syringes 0, 0.5, 1, and 1.5 h after

sealing the chamber. Samples were immediately transferred to glass vials sealed with butyl rubber septa (Alltech, Deerfield, IL) and analyzed within 1 wk using a headspace autosampler (Teledyne Tekmar, Mason, OH) connected to a gas chromatograph (model 5890, Agilent/Hewlett-Packard, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with an electron capture detector. The equipment was calibrated with analytical grade standards (Scott Specialty Gases, Plumsteadville, PA) each day when samples were analyzed. Gas concentrations in molar mixing ratios were converted to mass per volume concentrations using ideal gas law and air temperatures at sampling. Fluxes of N_2O were calculated from the rate of change in chamber N_2O concentration using the restricted quadratic regression procedure (Parkin et al., 2012) and the chamber bias correction method to account for suppression of the surface-atmosphere concentration gradient (Venterea, 2010; Venterea and Parkin, 2012).

Soil and Weather Measurements

During each N_2O flux sampling period soil temperature (ST) and soil moisture content (SMC) were measured in two of the 11 split-split-plots within each main plot. Soil temperature was measured by inserting a temperature probe (Fisher, Hampton, NH) to the 0.05-m depth within 1 m of the chamber. Soil cores were collected from midway between the row and mid-row positions (referred to as the one-fourth-row position) within 1 h of each flux measurement period using 0.05-m diam. brass rings to a depth of 0.05 m. Gravimetric water content was determined by drying at 105°C. Additional soil samples were collected weekly for analysis of extractable soil N concentration. On each sampling date, two cores from each split-split-plot were collected, one from the mid-row position and one from the one-fourth-row position, each to a depth of 0.15 m, and composited into a single bag. Samples were placed in a cooler and delivered to the lab within 2 h where approximately 10-g subsamples were extracted in 40 mL of 2 M KCl, filtered (Whatman no. 42), and analyzed for total nitrite-N (NO_2^- -N) plus nitrate-N (NO_3^- -N) using the Greiss-Ilosvay method with cadmium reduction (Mulvaney, 1996) modified for use with a flow-injection analyzer (Lachat, Loveland, CO). Extractions and analyses were performed within 24 h of sample collection using procedures designed to minimize potential losses of NO_2^- during sample processing (Stevens and Laughlin, 1995). The sum of NO_2^- plus NO_3^- concentrations on a dry weight basis are reported here and referred to as "soil nitrate-nitrogen" (SN). Gravimetric SMC over the 0- to 0.15-m depth was also determined in the soil samples collected weekly. A weather station located 1 km from the plots was used to collect air temperature and precipitation data which were recorded at 30-min intervals and converted to daily average and daily totals, respectively.

Data Analysis

Daily N_2O fluxes (dN_2O) measured on each sampling date were used to determine cumulative growing season N_2O emissions (cN_2O) by trapezoidal integration vs. time (Parkin and Venterea, 2010). The fertilizer-induced N_2O emission factor (EF) was calculated for each treatment by subtracting cN_2O in the control treatment of each main plot from cN_2O in each treatment of that plot, dividing by the amount of fertilizer N

applied, and expressing the result as a percentage (Venterea et al., 2012). Soil nitrate-N concentrations for each sampling date were used to determine soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI) by trapezoidal integration vs. time (Burton et al., 2008). Yield-based nitrous oxide emissions (cN_2O -y) and yield-based soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI-y) were calculated by dividing cN_2O and SNI, respectively, by grain yield (Mosier et al., 2006). Data were analyzed at $P < 0.05$ using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2006). To maintain a balanced experimental design, data from the non-fertilized control treatment, which lacked a fertilizer N application timing, were excluded from tests of fixed effects and mean comparisons but were included in regression and correlation analyses. Year, crop rotation, fertilizer N rate, and fertilizer N application timing were considered fixed effects and block and interactions with block were considered random effects. Residuals were assessed for normality and common variance using the UNIVARIATE procedure of SAS and scatterplots of residuals vs. predicted values (Kutner et al., 2004). Data for cN_2O emissions, cN_2O -y, SNI, SNI-y, and emissions factors (EF) did not meet the assumptions of normality and common variance, so data for these dependent variables were logarithm base 10 transformed before statistical analysis.

Mean comparisons were made using independent pairwise t tests at $P \leq 0.05$ using the PDIFF option in the MIXED procedures of SAS (SAS Institute, 2006). When the main effect of fertilizer N rate or interactions among fertilizer N rate and other fixed effects were significant at $P \leq 0.05$, linear and nonlinear regression equations were developed to describe the response of the dependent variables to fertilizer N rate using the MIXED and NLIN procedures of SAS (SAS Institute, 2006), respectively. Several regression models were evaluated based on scatterplots of residuals vs. predicted values (Kutner et al., 2004), and selected regression models were significant at $P \leq 0.05$. The agronomic optimum fertilizer N rate for corn grain yield were predicted by setting the first derivative of the fit quadratic regression equation to zero.

Linear associations between dN_2O , SMC, ST, and SN, and between cN_2O and SNI were evaluated for each combination of year, crop rotation, and fertilizer N application timing with Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) at $P \leq 0.05$ using the CORR procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2006). Because SN was measured weekly and dN_2O was measured twice weekly and not necessarily on the same day as SN, linear interpolation was used to estimate SN for association with dN_2O data. Linear multiple regression models with dN_2O as dependent variable and SMC, ST and SN as potential independent predictors were evaluated for each combination of year, crop rotation, and fertilizer N application timing using the REG procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2006). Models for all combinations of one, two, or three of these predictors were evaluated and final models were selected based on the adjusted R^2 , Mallows' C_p , Akaike's, and Schwarz' Bayesian criteria (Kutner et al., 2004). Selected regression models and all parameter estimates were significant at $P < 0.001$.

RESULTS

Weather

Total precipitation amounts during April through September were similar in 2012 (646 mm) and 2013 (591 mm) compared to the 30-yr mean of 542 mm (Table 1, Fig. 1a). In 2012, more of the precipitation occurred in larger rainfall events. There were 9 d in 2012 during which >31 mm of precipitation was recorded compared to only 1 d in 2013. Periods with lower than normal rainfall and dry soil conditions occurred during the latter half of both growing seasons. In 2012, a dry period persisted from 2 wk before until 1 wk following the V6 urea application (i.e., 21 June through 12 July). During this period, the seasonal maximum ST values (>28°C) and minimum SMC values were observed, with SMC at 0 to 0.05 m remaining below 0.12 g H₂O g⁻¹ on four consecutive sampling dates (Fig. 1b and 1c). A second dry period in 2012 started on 16 August, 3 wk following the V14 application, after which only 18 mm of rain was recorded compared to the 30-yr mean of 72 mm for the month of September. Soil moisture content steadily declined over the last four sampling dates of the 2012 season. In 2013, SMC steadily declined starting 1 wk after the V14 application on 28 July; only 98 mm of precipitation was recorded for the months of August and September combined, compared to the 30-yr mean of 180 mm.

Grain Yield

Fertilizer N rate was the only factor that had a significant effect on corn grain yield (Table 2). Across years, crop rotations, and N application timings, there was a quadratic response of

Table 1. Rainfall patterns by month and growing season.

Period	2012	2013	30-yr mean†
April	80	146	71
May	188	148	99
June	153	117	103
July	117	82	89
Aug.	92	62	108
Sept.	14	36	72
Total	646	591	542

† Calculated for 1984–2013 using data available at <http://climate.umn.edu/doc/historical.htm>.

Table 2. Significance of *F* values for fixed sources of variation.†

Source of variation	Corn grain yield	cN ₂ O	EF	cN ₂ O-y	SNI	SNI-y
Year	0.081	0.224	0.200	0.310	0.844	0.204
Crop rotation (rotation)	0.628	0.039	0.029	0.095	0.022	0.350
N rate (rate)	0.003	<0.001	0.542	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
N application timing (timing)	0.227	0.010	0.054	0.003	0.001	0.009
Year × rotation	0.257	0.215	0.066	0.103	0.052	0.191
Year × rate	0.732	0.073	0.505	0.227	0.336	0.721
Year × timing	0.610	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Rotation × rate	0.645	0.680	0.663	0.562	0.249	0.569
Rotation × timing	0.265	0.485	0.173	0.481	0.769	0.647
Rate × timing	0.539	0.039	0.156	0.162	0.007	0.012
Year × rotation × rate	0.591	0.245	0.035	0.346	0.137	0.606
Year × rotation × timing	0.544	0.265	0.160	0.435	0.105	0.050
Year × rate × timing	0.138	0.534	0.961	0.417	0.132	0.252
Rotation × rate × timing	0.986	0.922	0.789	0.951	0.709	0.531
Year × rotation × rate × timing	0.887	0.720	0.629	0.617	0.555	0.599

† Statistical analysis of cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O), nitrous oxide emissions factor (EF), yield-scaled cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O-y), nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI) and yield-scaled nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI-y) are based on logarithm base 10 transformed data.

grain yield to fertilizer N rate ($R^2 = 0.89$, Fig. 2), with maximum yield occurring at 155 kg N ha⁻¹.

Nitrous Oxide Flux and Soil Nitrate-Nitrogen Concentration

Daily mean N₂O fluxes ranged from below 10 to approximately 1000 µg N m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Several episodic increases followed by decreases in dN₂O were observed at different periods during each growing season. Soil nitrate-N concentration ranged from below 1.0 to approximately 90 mg N kg⁻¹ (Fig. 4). Segregated by year, rotation, and timing, dN₂O was positively correlated with SN in all but one case, positively correlated with SMC, and negatively correlated with ST in some cases (Table 3). Significant regression models using combinations of SMC, ST, and/or SN as predictors were obtained, with R^2 values ranging from 0.08 to 0.42 (Table 3). When included in multiple regression models together with SMC and SN, ST had a positive association with dN₂O.

Cumulative Nitrous Oxide Emissions (cN₂O and cN₂O-y)

Crop rotation had a significant effect on cN₂O (Table 2). Across years, application timings, and fertilizer N rates, cN₂O in the CC rotation (1.57 kg N ha⁻¹) was significantly greater than in the CS rotation (1.05 kg N ha⁻¹). There were significant rate × timing and year × timing interaction effects on cN₂O (Table 2). Across crop rotations and N rates, the SpA treatments in 2012 had greater cN₂O compared with the SA treatments in 2012 and compared with the SpA treatments in 2013 (Table 4). Across growing seasons and crop rotations in treatments receiving the maximum N fertilizer rate (210 kg N ha⁻¹), cN₂O was greater with SpA (2.47 kg N ha⁻¹) compared with SA (1.57 kg N ha⁻¹). However, N application timing had no significant effect on cN₂O across growing seasons and crop rotations in treatments receiving <210 kg N ha⁻¹. Accordingly, the response of log-transformed cN₂O to fertilizer N rate was fit to a linear regression model ($r^2 = 0.74$) for the SA treatments, and to a quadratic regression model ($R^2 = 0.62$) for the SpA treatments (Fig. 5a). When

cumulative N₂O emissions were expressed on a yield-scaled basis, there was a significant year × timing interaction effect on yield-based cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O-y). Consistent with the cN₂O result, cN₂O-y was greater with SpA compared with SA only in 2012, and cN₂O-y in the SpA treatments was greater in 2012 than 2013 (Table 4). In contrast with cN₂O, crop rotation had no significant effect on cN₂O-y, and there was no rate × timing interaction effect. The response of log-transformed cN₂O-y to fertilizer N rate across all treatments was fit to a quadratic regression model ($R^2 = 0.62$) (Fig. 5b).

Fertilizer-Induced Emissions Factors

There was a significant year × crop rotation × N rate interaction effect on EF (Table 2). Across timing treatments,

significant differences in EF by crop rotation and fertilizer N rate were present in 2012 but not in 2013 (Table 5). In 2012, EF was greater in the CC than in the CS rotation at all N rates except 130 kg N ha⁻¹; differences by fertilizer N rate were observed but were not consistent across crop rotations. Regression analysis of EF vs. N rate did not generate significant models for any combination of year and crop rotation. There was also a significant year × timing interaction effect on EF. Consistent with cN₂O and cN₂O-y, across crop rotations and N rates, the SpA treatments in 2012 had greater EF than the SA treatments in 2012 and the SpA treatments in 2013 (Table 4). In 2013, EF was greater with SA than SpA.




Fig. 1. (a) Daily and cumulative precipitation, (b) soil moisture content, and (c) air and soil temperature. Downward-pointing arrows indicate dates of planting (P) and fertilizer N application (F). Single application treatments received 100% of their N at F1, and the split-application treatments received three equal applications at F1, F2, and F3.




Fig. 2. Response of corn grain yield to fertilizer N rate, across years, crop rotations, and fertilizer N application timings.

Soil Nitrate-Nitrogen Intensity

Crop rotation had a significant effect on SNI (Table 2). Across years, timings, and fertilizer N rates, SNI in the CS rotation ($2.45 \text{ g N d kg}^{-1}$) was significantly greater than in the CC rotation ($1.80 \text{ g N d kg}^{-1}$). There was also a significant rate \times timing interaction effect. Across growing seasons and crop rotations in treatments receiving the maximum N fertilizer rate (210 kg N ha^{-1}), SNI was greater with SA ($4.51 \text{ g N d kg}^{-1}$) compared with SpA ($2.93 \text{ g N d kg}^{-1}$). Timing had no significant effect on SNI in treatments receiving $<210 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$. Accordingly, the response of log-transformed SNI to fertilizer N rate was fit to a linear regression model ($r^2 = 0.89$) for the SA treatments, and to a linear-plateau regression model for the SpA treatments (Fig. 6a). There was also a significant year \times timing interaction effect on SNI. In 2012, SNI was greater with SpA compared with SA, while in 2013 the opposite pattern of differences by timing was observed; and within the SA




Fig. 3. Daily nitrous oxide fluxes (dN_2O) in continuous corn (CC) and corn-soybean (CS) rotations receiving single (SA) and split (SpA) fertilizer applications. Downward-pointing arrows indicate dates of planting (P) and fertilizer N application (F). Single application (SA) treatments received 100% of their N at F1, and split application (SpA) treatments received three equal applications at F1, F2, and F3. Note different scales on right and left vertical axes.

treatments, SNI was greater in 2013 than 2012, but within the SpA treatments, there was no difference by year (Table 4). There was a significant correlation between SNI and cN₂O for all combinations of year, timing, and crop rotation (Table 3). When SNI was expressed on a yield-scaled basis, there was a significant year × crop rotation × timing interaction effect on SNI-y (Table 4). In 2012, SNI-y was greater with SpA compared with SA in the CC rotation only. In 2013, SNI-y was greater with SA compared with SpA in both rotations; and SNI-y was greater in the CS compared to CC for both the SA and SpA treatments. There was a significant rate × timing interaction effect on SNI-y consistent with the SNI results.

Accordingly, the response of SNI-y to fertilizer N rate was fit to a linear regression model ($r^2 = 0.90$) for the SA treatments, and to a linear-plateau regression model for the SpA treatments (Fig. 6b).

DISCUSSION

Grain Yield

Grain yield increased in response to fertilizer N input, but regression analysis indicated a quadratic response curve with maximum yield occurring at an N rate of 155 kg N ha⁻¹. Applying urea in three split applications at planting, V6, and V14 vs. a single application at planting did not affect grain yield. Widely varying effects of split N application on corn




Fig. 4. Soil nitrate nitrogen concentrations (SN) in continuous corn (CC) and corn-soybean (CS) rotations receiving single (SA) and split (SpA) fertilizer applications. Downward-pointing arrows indicate dates of planting (P) and fertilizer N application (F). Single application (SA) treatments received 100% of their N at F1, and split application (SpA) treatments received three equal applications at F1, F2, and F3.

Table 3. Correlation of daily nitrous oxide flux (dN₂O) with soil moisture content (SMC), soil temperature (ST), and soil nitrate-nitrogen concentration (SN), correlation of cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O) with soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI), and multiple regression of dN₂O vs. SMC, ST, and SN. Pearson's *r* and *P* values are shown for correlation analyses. Model coefficients (*b*₀, *b*₁, *b*₂, and *b*₃) and *R*² are shown for multiple regression analyses.†

Year	Rotation‡	Timing§	Correlation					Multiple regression¶				
			dN ₂ O vs.			cN ₂ O vs. SNI						
			SMC	ST	SN		<i>r</i>	<i>b</i> ₀	<i>b</i> ₁	<i>b</i> ₂	<i>b</i> ₃	<i>R</i> ²
2012	CC	SA	0.26***	-0.05ns#	0.21***	0.64**	-0.14	3.23	0.028	0.227	0.19	
		SpA	0.34***	-0.07ns	0.54***	0.83***	-0.58	3.63	0.031	0.588	0.42	
	CS	SA	0.22***	-0.06ns	0.14**	0.59**	0.97	1.40	ns	0.127	0.08	
		SpA	0.38***	-0.14***	0.42***	0.65**	0.63	2.40	ns	0.353	0.29	
2013	CC	SA	0.47***	-0.08*	0.40***	0.71***	0.61	2.91	ns	0.259	0.33	
		SpA	0.45***	-0.13**	0.23***	0.70**	0.75	2.58	ns	0.173	0.24	
	CS	SA	0.47***	-0.19***	0.13**	0.73***	0.75	2.65	ns	0.076	0.24	
		SpA	0.46***	-0.18***	-0.06ns	0.61**	0.75	2.62	ns	0.091	0.22	

* *P* < 0.05.

** *P* < 0.01.

*** *P* < 0.001.

† dN₂O, cN₂O, SN, and SNI were logarithm base 10 transformed before analysis.

‡ CC, continuous corn; CS, corn-soybean.

§ SA, single application; SpA, split application.

¶ Multiple regression results are for models in the form: dN₂O = *b*₀ + *b*₁SMC + *b*₂ST + *b*₃SN. All models were significant at *P* < 0.001 and all parameter estimates (*b*₁, *b*₂, and *b*₃) were significant at *P* < 0.001.

ns, not significant.

Table 4. Cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O), N₂O emissions factor (EF), yield-scaled nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O-y), nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI), and yield-scaled nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI-y) as affected by year, crop rotation, and fertilizer N application timing†.

Year	Crop rotation	N application timing	
		Single	Split
		cN ₂ O, kg N ha ⁻¹	
2012	Avg.‡	1.20aB§	1.86aA
2013		1.18aA	1.01bA
		EF, %	
2012	Avg.	0.54aB	0.98aA
2013		0.55aA	0.37bB
		cN ₂ O-y, g N Mg ⁻¹	
2012	Avg.	110bA	180aB
2013		181aA	153aA
		SNI, g N day kg ⁻¹	
2012	Avg.	1.91bB	2.12aA
2013		2.79aA	1.69aB
		SNI-y, mg day kg ⁻¹ Mg ⁻¹	
2012	CC	178aB	225aA
	CS	169aA	178aA
2013	CC	264bA	155bB
	CC	694aA	406aB

† Statistical analysis of cN₂O, EF, cN₂O-y, SNI, SNI-y are based on logarithm base 10 transformed data, and back-transformed means are reported.

‡ Averaged across continuous corn (CC) and corn-soybean (CS) rotations.

§ Within a column for cN₂O, EF, cN₂O-y, and SNI, and within a column and year for SNI-y, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Within a row, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level.

yield have been reported, including no effects (Randall et al., 1997) increased yield in some but not all growing seasons (Jaynes, 2013); and decreased yield (Jaynes and Colvin, 2006). The lack of a yield response to timing across a range of fertilizer N rates in the current study suggests that application timing had no overall effect on crop utilization of fertilizer N across the whole growing season. It is possible there was more efficient crop N utilization associated with the first SpA application compared to the larger single SA application at planting which could have promoted greater N loss via leaching of soluble N below the undeveloped root zone. However, lower N losses and more efficient crop N utilization associated with the first SpA application could have been offset by greater N losses and/or less efficient crop N utilization of the mid-season (V6 and V14) applications. Lack of timely rainfall and relatively low SMC during specific periods before and after the V6 and V14 applications each year may have resulted in limited mobilization of fertilizer N throughout the soil profile which may have restricted root N uptake. Volatilization of ammonia (NH₃) may have also contributed to lower crop N utilization

Table 5. Nitrous oxide emissions factor (EF) as affected by year, crop rotation, and fertilizer N rate.†

Fertilizer N rate	2012		2013	
	CC‡	CS	CC	CS
kg N ha ⁻¹	EF, %			
50	1.63aA§	0.12cB	0.41aA	0.41aA
90	1.03abA	0.21bcB	0.55aA	0.41aA
130	0.90 bA	0.46abA	0.64aA	0.25aA
170	0.98abA	0.28abcB	0.60aA	0.39aA
210	1.26abA	0.70aB	0.45aA	0.47aA

† Statistical analysis is based on logarithm base 10 transformed data, and back-transformed means are reported.

‡ CC, continuous corn; CS, corn-soybean.

§ Within a column, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Within a row for a given year, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level.




Fig. 5. Response to fertilizer N rate for (a) cumulative nitrous oxide emissions (cN₂O) for single (SA) and split (SpA) application timings across years and crop rotations, and (b) yield-scaled cumulative N₂O emissions (cN₂O-y) across years, crop rotations, and fertilizer application timings. Regression analyses were conducted using individual observations. Note that y axes use log scale.

following the mid-season applications due to warmer conditions which can promote NH₃ loss (Jones et al., 2013). We incorporated the urea following each application consistent with recommended practices and with the majority of Minnesota farmers according to a recent survey (Bierman et al., 2012). Even though mechanical incorporation following mid-season applications may be impractical or require the use of high-clearance equipment, we decided to incorporate after every application to reduce the potential for NH₃ losses. In the absence of incorporation, rainfall of at least 0.5 inches (13 mm) occurring in a single event within 24 to 48 h of application is also recommended as a means to incorporate urea and to reduce NH₃ losses (Jones et al., 2013). However, relying on expected rainfall risks delaying the application; in the current study, this practice likely would have resulted in later application and inconsistent

timing with respect to growth stage between growing seasons, since prolonged dry periods occurred in 2012 when the crop was at V6 and in 2013 when the crop was at VI4. Relying on weather forecasts also risks high NH₃ losses in the event of lower than predicted rainfall amounts. Thus, mechanical incorporation of urea represents a best possible case for minimizing NH₃ losses under the given conditions; nonetheless, significant NH₃ losses may have occurred.

Nitrous Oxide Emissions

Previous studies examining N application timing effects on N₂O emissions have observed varying results, including no effects of delayed or split application (Zebarth et al., 2008; Phillips et al., 2009); reduced N₂O emissions with delayed application under some but not all conditions (Drury et al., 2012); or reduced N₂O




Fig. 6. Response to fertilizer N rate for (a) soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI) and (b) yield-scaled soil nitrate-nitrogen intensity (SNI-y) for single (SA) and split (SpA) application timings across years and crop rotations. Regression analyses were conducted using individual observations. R^2 values are not shown for linear-plateau models because residuals do not always sum to zero for nonlinear regression models (Kutner et al., 2004). Note that y axes use log scale.

emissions with split application in some but not all growing seasons (Burton et al., 2008). This is the first study to report an increase in cumulative N₂O emissions with split compared to a single early-season N application, which was observed in 2012 (across crop rotations and N rates) and in the highest fertilizer N rate (across rotations and growing seasons). Greater cN₂O with SpA across rotations and N rates in 2012 was likely caused by wide fluctuations in SMC and rainfall occurring before and following the V6 and V14 applications. A prolonged dry period persisted from about 2 wk before until 1 wk following the V6 application, which (as discussed previously) may have inhibited the movement of applied N through the soil profile and limited crop N uptake. Soil N availability was then supplemented by additional N from the V14 application, which was followed by a series of rainfall events, including the largest event of the season (60 mm) the following day, and three events exceeding 20 mm occurring 5, 10, and 22 d later (these four events accounted for 26% of total growing season precipitation). It is likely that these rainfall events combined with high levels of soil N created conducive conditions for the large and prolonged pulses in dN₂O that occurred following the V14 application in 2012.

Increased ST later in the season may have contributed to some extent to enhanced N₂O emissions following the midseason N applications. Phillips et al. (2009) found a trend ($P = 0.103$) for greater N₂O emissions when urea was applied 3 d before planting compared to 6 wk before planting and noted that greater temperatures as well as SMC following the later application may have promoted greater microbial activity and N₂O production compared to the earlier application. The negative correlation observed here between cN₂O and ST is possibly an artifact of a consistent negative correlation ($r = -0.55$) between SMC and ST, that is, when soils were wetter and more conducive for N₂O production, they were also cooler as the result of increased evaporative cooling. This explanation is consistent with the observation that when ST was included in multiple regression models together with SMC and SN, there was a positive association between ST and dN₂O. Including ST as a third predictor variable explained an additional 5.7 and 4.9% of the variance in dN₂O for the 2012 CC/SA and 2012 CC/SpA treatments, respectively (Table 3); ST was not included in the final selected model for the remaining treatment combinations because it did not substantially improve the overall model, explaining <2.5% of additional variance in dN₂O.

The models describing log-transformed cN₂O as a function of fertilizer N rate (Fig. 5) are equivalent to models describing non-transformed N₂O emissions (y) as functions of fertilizer N rate (x) in the forms

$$y = b_0 10^{b_1 x}$$

and

$$y = b_0 10^{b_1 x + b_2 x^2}$$

where b_0 , b_1 , and b_2 are positive constants; we refer to these models as “first-order” (1°) and “second-order” (2°) exponential, respectively. Previous studies have reported 1° as well as linear and

other types of nonlinear responses of cumulative N₂O emissions to N rate (e.g., Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013). Increasing exponential responses similar to the 1° model imply that with each marginal increase in N fertilizer input, there is a disproportionately larger marginal increase in N₂O emissions. The 2° model found here for cN₂O with SpA is nearly identical to the 1° model for cN₂O with SA at N rates ≤ 90 kg N ha⁻¹, but diverges from the 1° model at higher N rates. As far as we know, 2° exponential responses of cN₂O or cN₂O-y to N rate have not been previously reported. Increasing exponential responses of N₂O emissions to N rate also imply that EFs will increase with N rate (Shcherbak et al., 2014). However, an increasing response of EF to N rate was not found in this study. The EFs for each individual treatment were calculated by subtracting cN₂O values obtained for the control treatment in each main plot from the cN₂O values of each treatment within that plot. This procedure is required to calculate variances that allow for examination of treatment effects (Venterea et al., 2012). Thus, the EF data set has a different content and structure than the original cN₂O data set used to generate the exponential response curves. Thus, an exponential response of cN₂O to N rate does not necessarily imply that EFs increase monotonically with N rate.

Across growing seasons, application timings, and N rates, cN₂O was 50% greater in CC compared with the CS rotation. In both years, the CC/SA treatments exhibited increases in dN₂O above baseline levels following rainfall events in early August 2012 and mid-August 2013, but this was not observed in the CS/SA treatments (Fig. 3). Soil nitrate-N concentrations were relatively high in both the CC and CS treatments during these events (Fig. 4). Across growing seasons, application timings and N rates, SNI was greater with CS than CC, which could have resulted from greater amounts of N released from the previous year’s crop (N-fixing soybean) residue. Thus, the SN and SNI data suggest that some factor other than soil N availability was responsible for greater cN₂O in CC compared to CS. It is possible that the greater mass of crop (i.e., corn) residue inputs from the previous growing season in the CC rotation compared with the soybean residue inputs in the CS rotation resulted in greater levels of soluble organic carbon (SOC) in the CC rotation. Differences in tillage regime between rotations (i.e., fall tillage occurred following the previous crop in CC but not in CS) may have also promoted greater levels of SOC in the CC rotation. It has been shown that SOC can promote N₂O production via denitrification (Burford and Bremner, 1975) and/or nitrification (Venterea, 2007). A previous study at the same site as the current study observed greater soil CO₂ emissions with CC than CS, which supports the hypothesis that labile SOC was greater in CC than CS. It is also possible that variation in SOC availability during the growing season may have differed between rotations; that is, SOC may have been more available in CC compared to CS during July and August. Previous studies have found significant differences in N₂O emissions, but the differences were in opposite directions; Drury et al. (2008) found greater N₂O emissions with CC and Mosier et al. (2006) found greater N₂O emissions with CS. Differences in microbial community structure or function may also have been involved. Further study is needed to explain crop rotation effects on cN₂O and SNI.

The strong correlation found here between SNI and cN_2O has been observed in other studies (e.g., Burton et al., 2008). The strength of the correlation between SNI and cN_2O was consistently greater ($r = 0.59-0.83$) than the correlation between SN and dN_2O ($r < 0.54$). Similarly, Maharjan and Venterea (2013) observed that correlations between soil nitrite-N intensity and cN_2O were stronger than correlations between soil nitrite-N concentration and dN_2O ; and they also remarked on possible explanations for this observation. In contrast to N_2O , SNI and SNI-y were lower with SpA than with SA at the maximum N rate and there was a leveling off of the N rate response in the SpA treatment. Explanations for this result are speculative; it may have resulted from elevated NH_3 losses following the mid-season N applications which might have increased with N rate resulting in disproportionately less N remaining in the soil to be converted to nitrate N at the highest N rate.

CONCLUSIONS

Applying granular urea using split applications to better coincide with crop N demand does not necessarily reduce and may actually increase N_2O emissions. In the current study, it is likely that persistently dry soil conditions inhibited the mobilization of urea-derived N and its availability for crop uptake, even when urea was applied at a time of high crop N demand. Subsequent rainfall events then stimulated microbial conversion of this N into N_2O before it could be utilized by the crop. These conditions cannot be controlled nor can they be easily predicted in rainfed production systems. On the other hand, applying urea early in the growing season also carries the risk of N losses via direct soil-to-atmosphere N_2O emission as well as nitrate leaching below the undeveloped root zone (Errebhi et al., 1998). Nitrate losses cannot only impact water quality but provide a potential source of indirect N_2O emissions, although the extent of off-site conversion to N_2O is highly uncertain (Venterea et al., 2011). Thus, current options available to utilize N fertilizer timing as a tool to reduce total (direct plus indirect) N_2O emissions appear limited, and the calculations involved in determining the least risky option depend on climate and other factors that are highly uncertain. Injecting post-plant N fertilizer in dissolved form (e.g., as urea-ammonium nitrate solution) might enhance crop uptake especially if applied in close proximity to roots, although this practice does not always enhance yields and in some cases has decreased yields (e.g., Jaynes and Colvin, 2006). Combining split applications with stabilized N sources and/or chemical inhibitors that resist leaching and microbial transformation might also be effective. Some studies have examined combinations of such practices (e.g., Burzaco et al., 2013), but more are needed to identify effective strategies for reducing N_2O emissions while maintaining or enhancing crop production.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of M. Dolan, N. Severson, D. Olson, G. Holz and J. Lamb. This work was supported by a grant from the Minnesota Corn Research and Promotion Council and the Agricultural Research Service of the USDA.

- Abendroth, L.J., R.W. Elmore, M.J. Boyer, and S.K. Marlay. 2011. Corn growth and development. PMR 1009. Iowa State Univ. Ext., Ames.
- Allen, D.E., G. Kingston, H. Rennenberg, R.C. Dalal, and S. Schmidt. 2010. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer management and waterlogging on nitrous oxide emission from subtropical sugarcane soils. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 136:209–217. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.11.002
- Bierman, P., C.J. Rosen, R.T. Venterea, and J. Lamb. 2012. Survey of nitrogen fertilizer use on corn in Minnesota. *Agric. Syst.* 109:43–52. doi:10.1016/j.agry.2012.02.004
- Burford, J.R., and J.M. Bremner. 1975. Relationships between denitrification capacities of soils and total, water-soluble and readily decomposable soil organic matter. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 7:389–394. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(75)90055-3
- Burton, D.L., B.J. Zebarth, K.M. Gillam, and J.A. MacLeod. 2008. Effect of split application of fertilizer nitrogen on N_2O emissions from potatoes. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 88:229–239. doi:10.4141/CJSS06007
- Burzaco, J., D.R. Smith, and T.J. Vyn. 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions in Mid-west U.S. maize production vary widely with band-injected N fertilizer rates, timing and nitrapyrin presence. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8:1–11. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035031
- Del Grosso, S.J., D.S. Ojima, W.J. Parton, E. Stehfest, M. Heistemann, B. DeAngelo, and S. Rose. 2009. Global scale DAYCENT model analysis of greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation strategies for cropped soils. *Global Planet. Change* 67:44–50. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.12.006
- Drury, C.F., W.D. Reynolds, X.M. Yang, N.B. McLaughlin, T.W. Welacky, W. Calder, and C.A. Grant. 2012. Nitrogen source, application time, and tillage effects on soil nitrous oxide emissions and corn grain yields. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 76:1268–1279. doi:10.2136/sssaj2011.0249
- Drury, C.F., X.M. Yang, W.D. Reynolds, and N.B. McLaughlin. 2008. Nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide emissions from monoculture and rotational cropping of corn, soybean and winter wheat. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 88:163–174. doi:10.4141/CJSS06015
- Errebhi, M., C.J. Rosen, S.C. Gupta, and D.E. Biring. 1998. Potato yield response and nitrate leaching as influenced by nitrogen management. *Agron. J.* 90:10–15. doi:10.2134/agronj1998.00021962009000010003x
- Halvorson, A., S.J. Del Grosso, and C.A. Reule. 2008. Nitrogen, tillage, and crop rotation effects on nitrous oxide emissions from irrigated cropping systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 37:1337–1344. doi:10.2134/jeq2007.0268
- Hu L., J. Qiu, L. Wang, M. Xu, Z. Liu, and W. Wang. 2012. Estimates of N_2O emissions and mitigation potential from a spring maize field based on DNDC model. *J. Integrat. Agric.* 2067–2078.
- Jaynes, D.B. 2013. Nitrate loss in subsurface drainage and corn yield as affected by timing of sidedress nitrogen. *Agric. Water Manage.* 130:52–60. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2013.08.010
- Jaynes, D.B., and T.S. Colvin. 2006. Corn yield and nitrate loss in subsurface drainage from midseason nitrogen fertilizer application. *Agron. J.* 98:1479–1487. doi:10.2134/agronj2006.0046
- Jones, C.A., B.D. Brown, R. Engel, D. Horneck, and K. Olson-Rutz. 2013. Management to minimize nitrogen fertilizer volatilization. Montana State Univ. Ext. <http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility/PDF/pub/UvolBMPEB0209.pdf> (accessed 4 Aug. 2014).
- Kim, D.-G., G. Hernandez-Ramirez, and D. Giltrap. 2013. Linear and nonlinear dependency of direct nitrous oxide emissions on fertilizer nitrogen input: A meta-analysis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 168:53–65. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.021
- Kutner, M.H., C.J. Nachtsheim, and J. Neter. 2004. *Applied linear regression models*. 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Maharjan, B., and R.T. Venterea. 2013. Nitrite intensity explains N management effects on N_2O emissions in maize. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 66:229–238. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.07.015
- MCWG. 2014. Minnesota Climatology Working Group. Historical climate data retrieval. <http://climate.umn.edu/doc/historical.htm> (accessed 4 Aug 2014).
- Mosier, A.R., A.D. Halvorson, C.A. Reule, and X.J. Liu. 2006. Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado. *J. Environ. Qual.* 35:1584–1598. doi:10.2134/jeq2005.0232
- Mulvaney, R.L. 1996. Nitrogen-inorganic forms. In: D.L. Sparks, editor, *Methods of soil analysis*. Part 3. ASA, Madison, WI. p. 1123–1184.

- Ogle, S.M., P.R. Adler, F.J. Breidt, S. Del Grosso, J. Derner, A. Franzluebbers et al. 2014. Quantifying greenhouse gas sources and sinks in cropland and grazing land systems. In: M. Eve, D. Pape, M. Flugge, R. Steele, D. Man, M. Riley-Gilbert, and S. Biggar, editors, *Quantifying greenhouse gas fluxes in agriculture and forestry: Methods for entity-scale inventory*. Tech. Bull. 1939. Office of the Chief Economist, USDA, Washington, DC. www.usda.gov/oce/climate_change/Quantifying_GHG/Chapter3S.pdf (accessed 4 Aug. 2014). p. 3-1-3-142.
- Omonode, R.A., D.R. Smith, A. Gal, and T.J. Vyn. 2011. Soil nitrous oxide emissions in corn following three decades of tillage and rotation treatments. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75:152-163. doi:10.2136/sssaj2009.0147
- Parkin, T.B., and R.T. Venterea. 2010. Chamber-based trace gas flux measurements. In: R.F. Follett, editor, *USDA-ARS GRACEnet Project Protocols/Sampling Protocols*, Beltsville, MD. www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Program/212/Chapter%203.%20GRACEnet%20Trace%20Gas%20Sampling%20Protocols.pdf (accessed 4 Aug. 2014). p. 1-39.
- Parkin, T.B., R.T. Venterea, and S.K. Hargreaves. 2012. Calculating the detection limits of chamber-based soil greenhouse gas flux measurements. *J. Environ. Qual.* 41:705-715. doi:10.2134/jeq2011.0394
- Phillips, R.L., D.L. Tanaka, D.W. Archer, and J.D. Hanson. 2009. Fertilizer application timing influences greenhouse gas fluxes over a growing season. *J. Environ. Qual.* 38:1569-1579. doi:10.2134/jeq2008.0483
- Randall, G.W., T.K. Irgavarapu, and B.R. Bock. 1997. Nitrogen application methods and timing for corn after soybean in a ridge-tillage system. *J. Prod. Agric.* 10:300-307. doi:10.2134/jpa1997.0300
- Randall, G., G. Rehm, and J. Lamb. 2008. *Best management practices for nitrogen use in southeastern Minnesota*. Publ. 08557. Univ. of Minnesota Ext., St. Paul.
- Ribaudo, M., J. Delgado, L. Hansen, M. Livingston, R. Mosheim, and J. Williamson. 2011. Nitrogen in agricultural systems: Implications for conservation policy. *Economic Res. Rep. ERR-127*. USDA, Washington, DC. www.ers.usda.gov/publications/err-economic-research-report/err127.aspx#U9_HPGPQrps (accessed 4 Aug. 2014). p. 89.
- Robertson, G.P., and P.M. Vitousek. 2009. Nitrogen in agriculture: Balancing an essential resource. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 34:97-125. doi:10.1146/annurev.enviro.032108.105046
- SAS Institute. 2006. *The SAS system for Windows. Version 9.2*. SAS Inst., Cary, NC.
- Shcherbak, I., N. Millar, and G.P. Robertson. 2014. Global meta-analysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide emissions to fertilizer nitrogen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111:9199-9204. doi:10.1073/pnas.1322434111
- Smith, P., D. Martino, Z. Cai, D. Gwary, H. Janzen, P. Kumar et al. 2007. *Agriculture*. In: B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave, and L.A. Meyer, editors, *Climate change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York. p. 497-540.
- Stevens, R.J., and R.J. Laughlin. 1995. Nitrite transformations during soil extraction with potassium chloride. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 59:933-938. doi:10.2136/sssaj1995.036159950059000300044x
- Van Groenigen, J.W., G.L. Velthof, O. Oenema, K.J. Van Groenigen, and C. Van Kessel. 2010. Towards an agronomic assessment of N₂O emissions: A case study for arable crops. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 61:903-913. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01217.x
- Venterea, R.T. 2007. Nitrite-driven nitrous oxide production under aerobic soil conditions: Kinetics and biochemical controls. *Glob. Change Biol.* 13:1798-1809. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01389.x
- Venterea, R.T. 2010. Simplified method for quantifying theoretical underestimation of chamber-based trace gas fluxes. *J. Environ. Qual.* 39:126-135. doi:10.2134/jeq2009.0231
- Venterea, R.T., M.S. Dolan, and T.E. Ochsner. 2010. Urea decreases N₂O emissions compared with anhydrous ammonia in a Minnesota corn cropping system. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 74:407-418. doi:10.2136/sssaj2009.0078
- Venterea, R.T., C.R. Hyatt, and C.J. Rosen. 2011. Fertilizer management effects on nitrate leaching and indirect nitrous oxide emissions in irrigated potato production. *J. Environ. Qual.* 40:1103-1112. doi:10.2134/jeq2010.0540
- Venterea, R.T., and T.B. Parkin. 2012. Quantifying biases in non-steady state chamber measurements of soil-atmosphere gas exchange. In: M.A. Liebig, A.J. Franzluebbers, and R.F. Follett, editors, *Managing agricultural greenhouse gases*. Elsevier, Boston. p. 327-343. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-386897-8.00019-X.
- Venterea, R.T., T.B. Parkin, L. Cardenas, S.O. Petersen, and A.R. Petersen. 2012. Data analysis considerations. In: C. Deklein, and M. Harvey, editors, *Nitrous oxide chamber methodology guidelines*. Global Res. Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Emissions. www.globalresearchalliance.org/research/livestock/activities/nitrous-oxide-chamber-methodology-guidelines/ (accessed 4 Aug. 2014). p. 95-121.
- Zebarth, B.J., P. Rochette, D.L. Burton, and M. Price. 2008. Effect of fertilizer nitrogen management on N₂O emissions in commercial corn fields. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 88:189-195. doi:10.4141/CJSS06010
- Zebarth, B.J., E. Snowden, D.L. Burton, C. Goyer, and R. Dowbenko. 2012. Controlled release fertilizer product effects on potato crop response and nitrous oxide emissions under rain-fed production on a medium-textured soil. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 92:759-769. doi:10.4141/cjss2012-008